## FORM 117. PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF COURT OF APPEALS

## STATE OF MINNESOTA IN SUPREME COURT

CASE TITLE:	
Petitioner,	PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF COURT OF APPEALS
VS.	APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER:
Respondent.	DATE OF FILING OF COURT OF APPEALS DECISION:
TO: The Supreme Court of the State of Min	nesota:
The petitioner (name) requests Suprethe Court of Appeals upon the following gro	eme Court review of the above-entitled decision of bunds:
<ol> <li>Statement of legal issues and their</li> <li>Statement of the criteria of the rule</li> <li>Statement of the case (facts and present of the case)</li> </ol>	e relied upon to support the petition.
(The statement should be a concise smust be attached.)	summary because the decisions of the lower courts
4. A brief argument in support of pet	ition.
(The petitioner shall identify and address the critical portion of the Court of Appeals decision and discuss the likelihood of success on the merits.)	
For these reasons, the petitioner seel Court of Appeals.	ks an order granting review of the decision of the
DATED:	
NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, TELE REGISTRATION LICENSE NUMBER OF	EPHONE NUMBER, AND ATTORNEY ATTORNEY(S) FOR PETITIONER
SIGNATURE	

(The content requirements of the petition are found in <u>RCAP 117</u>. The rule emphasizes that Supreme Court review is discretionary. The decisions of the Court of Appeals and trial court or

Appendix

agency must be attached as an appendix. The petition should not exceed 5 typewritten pages, exclusive of appendix.)